1									
2									
3	JUL -5 2016 JF								
4	SUSAN Y. SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND								
5	OAKLAND OAKLAND								
6									
7									
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT								
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA								
10	Wester C. Bendelph III								
11	Wesley S. Randolph III Plaintiff, CASE NO 3760								
12	vs. CASE NO								
13	Robert Half Technology) EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINT								
14	Defendant(s).								
15)								
16	1. Plaintiff resides at:								
17	Address P.O. Box 27545								
18	City, State & Zip Code Oakland, CA 94602-1501								
19	Phone (510) 407-1311								
20	2. Defendant is located at:								
21	Address 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 1000								
22	City, State & Zip Code Oakland, CA 94612								
23	3. This action is brought pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 for employ-								
24	ment discrimination. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by 42 U.S.C. Section 2000e-5.								
25	Equitable and other relief is sought under 42 U.S.C. Section 2000e-5(g).								
26	4. The acts complained of in this suit concern:								
27	a Failure to employ me.								
28	b. Termination of my employment.								
	Form-Intake 2 (Rev. 4/05) - 1 -								

1	c Failure to promote me.							
2	d. Other acts as specified below.							
3	Termination of employment because of Age and Race							
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9	5. Defendant's conduct is discriminatory with respect to the following:							
10	a. My race or color.							
11	bMy religion.							
12	c My sex.							
13	d My national origin.							
14	e. Other as specified below.							
15	My Age							
	The heart foots are summared to a more plains of discontinuing of the same and							
16	6. The basic facts surrounding my claim of discrimination are:							
16 17	I was hired by the Defendant on or about October 20, 2014 to work as an Information Systems Technician II							
17	I was hired by the Defendant on or about October 20, 2014 to work as an Information Systems Technician II							
17 18	I was hired by the Defendant on or about October 20, 2014 to work as an Information Systems Technician II for the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department in the Contra Costa County Employment and							
17 18 19	I was hired by the Defendant on or about October 20, 2014 to work as an Information Systems Technician II for the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department in the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Service Information Technologies Department. My employment was terminated August 14, 2015 because of my							
17 18 19 20	I was hired by the Defendant on or about October 20, 2014 to work as an Information Systems Technician II for the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department in the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Service Information Technologies Department. My employment was terminated August 14, 2015 because of my race (Africa American) in violation of Title of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and age (61) in violation of Age							
17 18 19 20 21	I was hired by the Defendant on or about October 20, 2014 to work as an Information Systems Technician II for the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department in the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Service Information Technologies Department. My employment was terminated August 14, 2015 because of my race (Africa American) in violation of Title of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and age (61) in violation of Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967							
17 18 19 20 21 22	I was hired by the Defendant on or about October 20, 2014 to work as an Information Systems Technician II for the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department in the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Service Information Technologies Department. My employment was terminated August 14, 2015 because of my race (Africa American) in violation of Title of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and age (61) in violation of Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967							
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I was hired by the Defendant on or about October 20, 2014 to work as an Information Systems Technician II for the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department in the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Service Information Technologies Department. My employment was terminated August 14, 2015 because of my race (Africa American) in violation of Title of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and age (61) in violation of Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967							
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I was hired by the Defendant on or about October 20, 2014 to work as an Information Systems Technician II for the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department in the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Service Information Technologies Department. My employment was terminated August 14, 2015 because of my race (Africa American) in violation of Title of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and age (61) in violation of Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (ADEA).							
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	I was hired by the Defendant on or about October 20, 2014 to work as an Information Systems Technician II for the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department in the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Service Information Technologies Department. My employment was terminated August 14, 2015 because of my race (Africa American) in violation of Title of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and age (61) in violation of Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (ADEA). 7. The alleged discrimination occurred on or aboutAugust 14, 2015							
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	I was hired by the Defendant on or about October 20, 2014 to work as an Information Systems Technician II for the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department in the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Service Information Technologies Department. My employment was terminated August 14, 2015 because of my race (Africa American) in violation of Title of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and age (61) in violation of Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (ADEA). 7. The alleged discrimination occurred on or about							

k. Li

1	discriminatory conduct on or aboutAugust 14, 2015_								
2	(DATE)								
3	9. The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission issued a Notice-of-Right-to-Sue letter								
4	(copy attached), which was received by me on or about Jone 21, 2016.								
5	(DATE)								
6	10. Plaintiff hereby demands a jury for all claims for which a jury is permitted:								
7	Yes _X _ No								
8	11. WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays that the Court grant such relief as may be appropriate,								
9	including injunctive orders, damages, costs, and attorney fees.								
10									
11	DATED: July 5, 2016 Wesley S. Randofshitt								
12	SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF								
13	(PLEASE NOTE: NOTARIZATION Wesley S. Randolph III								
14	(i BELIGE I TO I MILE I I TO I								
15	IS <u>NOT</u> REQUIRED.) PLAINTIFF'S NAME								
16	(Printed or Typed)								
17 18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									
	Form-Intake 2 (Rev. 4/13) - 3 -								

WESLEY S. RANDOLPH III
P.O. Box 27545
Oakland, CA 94602-1501
(510) 407-1311
IN PRO SE

UNITE:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

For the

Northern District of California

WESLEY S. RANDOLPH III, an individual
Plaintiff,
V.
Pursuant to
Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
ROBERT HALF TECHNOLOGY
EMPLOYMENT AGENCY,
Defendant.

Defendant.

Case No.:

Employment Discrimination
Complaint

Pursuant to
Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967(ADEA)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

This is an action for damages against Rorbert Half Technology Employment Agency (herein after referred to as the "Defendant") and is brought pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA). Mr. Wesley S. Randolph III (hereinafter referred to as the "Plaintiff") is an African-American man who was born February 22, 1954 The Plaintiff is an Honorably Discharged United States Air Force Veteran; a Retired San Francisco B.A.R.T. District Computer Support Coordinator, with a Bachelor of Science Degree Majoring in Information Systems Security and Master of Science Degree Majoring in Information Systems Security both degrees are from the University of San Francisco.

1 2

In October of 2014 the Defendant hire the Plaintiff for an employment assignment as an Information Systems Technician II for the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department, Information Technologies Department.

Friday August 14, 2015, the Defendant aburptly terminate the Plaintiff's employment assignment as an Information Systems Technician II for the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department Information Technologies Department. The Defendant verbally ask the Plaintiff to return the returned the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department, Information Technologies Department's issued IPhone; identification badge and Contra Costa County Buildings keys to the Defendant's offices location at 1999 Harrison Street #1000, Oakland, CA 94602 on Monday, August 17, 2015.

The Defendant verbally stated to the Plaintiff on Friday August 14, 2015, that the employment contracts between the Robert Half Technology Employment Agency and the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department, Information Technologies Department was being terminated, the Plaintiff's employment assignment and all of the other Defendant's personnel who are or were employed as Information Systems Technician II for the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department Information Technologies Department employment has ended.

Monday August 17, 2015 the Plaintiff returned the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department, Information Technologies Department's IPhone; identification badge and Contra Costa County Buildings keys to Roni Itagaki at the Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department, Information Technologies Department Administration Building located at 300 Ellinwood Way, Pleasant Hill, CA 94523.

On August 17, 2015 the Plaintiff visually wittiness and verbally spoke to Robert Half Technology Employment Agency personnel performing work as Information Systems

Technologies Department at 300 Ellinwood Way, Pleasant Hill, CA 94523.

The Defendant lied to the Plaintiff and terminated the Plaintiff's employment assignment with Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department, Information Technologies Department because of race his (African-American) and his age (61).

The Defendant continued to employ young Asia & White Men and Woman as Information Systems Technician who were and are assigned to work for Contra Costa County Employment and Human Services Department, in the Information Technologies Department.

The Plaintiff believe he was discriminated against because of his Race (African-American), in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Plaintiff also believes that he discriminated against because of his Age (61), in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended.

August 28, 2015, the Plaintiff filed a Complaint with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) - EEOC Number: 555-2015-01110C and the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH)

March 11, 2016, the Robert Half Technology Employment Agency responded to the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) - EEOC Number: 555-2015-01110C with a false made up allegations against the Plaintiff's professional reputation.

June 21, 2016 the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) – issued Notice of Right to Sue for EEOC Number: 555-2015-01110C

As a proximate result of the Defendant's conduct, the Plaintiff has suffered physical pain, emotional and mental distress, anxiety, and humiliation.

As a further proximate result of the Defendant's conduct, the Plaintiff claims general damages, which include damages to his professional reputation, substantial loss of earnings, bonuses, deferred compensation, and other employment benefits which he would have received

had the Defendant not denied his continued employment with the Contra Costa County 1 Employment and Human Services Department, Information Technologies Department. 2 3 The conduct of the Defendant was done with a conscious disregard of the Plaintiff's rights. 4 5 **JURY DEMAND** 6 The Plaintiff demands a jury trial in this action. 7 **PRAYER** 8 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief, as follows: 9 For violating Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 1. 10 \$1,000,000.00; 11 2. For violating The Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA) 12 \$1,000,000.00; For Cost of suit incurred; and reasonable legal fees 3. 13 4. For any other relief this Court deems just and proper. 14 15 Dated: July 5, 2016 16 17 Wesley S. Randolph III, 18 19 In Pro Se 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page4

Complaint for Damages and Jury Trial Demanded

EEOC Form 161-B (11/09)

5 N T

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)

To:	Po Bo	y Randolph, III x 27545 ad, CA 94602	-	From:	Oakland Local Off 1301Clay Street Suite 1170 N Oakland, CA 9461	
		On behalf of person(s) aggrieved w CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(rhose identity is (a))			
EEOC	C Charge	No.	EEOC Representative			Telephone No.
			Elizabeth L. Lyons,			(F40) 627 2244
555-	-2015-0	1110	Investigator			(510) 637-3244
			(See also	the additional inform	ation enclosed with this form.)
Notic	E TO THE	PERSON AGGRIEVED:			antha Canatia Info	mation Nondiscrimination
Act (C been i of you	GINA): 1 issued a ur recei	This is your Notice of Right to Su	Americans with Disabilities Addenie, issued under Title VII, the ADder Title VII, the ADd or GINA mosue based on this charge will be	A of GINA	ed in a federal or st	ate court <u>WITHIN 90 DAYS</u>
[X		ed since the filing of this charge.			
[Less than 180 days have passe be able to complete its adminis	ed since the filing of this charge, trative processing within 180 day	but I have	e determined that it is e filing of this charge	unlikely that the EEOC will
	X	The EEOC is terminating its pro	ocessing of this charge.			
Ī		The EEOC will continue to prod	cess this charge.			
90 da	ys after case:	ination in Employment Act (Al you receive notice that we have	DEA): You may sue under the Alecompleted action on the charge	. III ulis i	egalu, tile palagiup	iii iii an a bele ii appare
Ţ	X	The EEOC is closing your case 90 DAYS of your receipt of the	e. Therefore, your lawsuit under is Notice. Otherwise, your right	the ADEA to sue ba	ased on the above-nu	umbered charge will be lost.
[The EEOC is continuing its har you may file suit in federal or st	ndling of your ADEA case. Howe tate court under the ADEA at this	ever, if 60 s time.	days have passed si	nce the filing of the charge,
in fad	arai ar a	tata court within 2 years (3 years	right to sue under the EPA (filing a for willful violations) of the allege rears (3 years) before you file s	u EFA uii	uerpayment. The in	d.) EPA suits must be brought eans that backpay due for
If you	file suit,	based on this charge, please se	nd a copy of your court complaint	t to this of	fice.	
Encl	osures(- s)	On behalf of Dana C. Jo	hnson,		06/21/2016 (Date Mailed)
			Local Office	Director	r	
cc:	J	onathan Aldridge corporate Counsel/ Employme	nt & Litigation			

Jonathan Aldridge
Corporate Counsel/ Employment & Litigation
ROBERT HALF
2884 Sand Hill Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Enclosure with EEOC Form 161-B (11/09)

INFORMATION RELATED TO FILING SUIT UNDER THE LAWS ENFORCED BY THE EEOC

(This information relates to filing suit in Federal or State court <u>under Federal law</u>.

If you also plan to sue claiming violations of State law, please be aware that time limits and other provisions of State law may be shorter or more limited than those described below.)

PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS

-- Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA), or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA):

In order to pursue this matter further, you must file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) named in the charge <u>within</u> <u>90 days</u> of the date you receive this Notice. Therefore, you should keep a record of this date. Once this 90-day period is over, your right to sue based on the charge referred to in this Notice will be lost. If you intend to consult an attorney, you should do so promptly. Give your attorney a copy of this Notice, and its envelope, and tell him or her the date you received it. Furthermore, in order to avoid any question that you did not act in a timely manner, it is prudent that your suit be filed within 90 days of the date this Notice was mailed to you (as indicated where the Notice is signed) or the date of the postmark, if later.

Your lawsuit may be filed in U.S. District Court or a State court of competent jurisdiction. (Usually, the appropriate State court is the general civil trial court.) Whether you file in Federal or State court is a matter for you to decide after talking to your attorney. Filing this Notice is not enough. You must file a "complaint" that contains a short statement of the facts of your case which shows that you are entitled to relief. Your suit may include any matter alleged in the charge or, to the extent permitted by court decisions, matters like or related to the matters alleged in the charge. Generally, suits are brought in the State where the alleged unlawful practice occurred, but in some cases can be brought where relevant employment records are kept, where the employment would have been, or where the respondent has its main office. If you have simple questions, you usually can get answers from the office of the clerk of the court where you are bringing suit, but do not expect that office to write your complaint or make legal strategy decisions for you.

PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS -- Equal Pay Act (EPA):

EPA suits must be filed in court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment: back pay due for violations that occurred **more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit** may not be collectible. For example, if you were underpaid under the EPA for work performed from 7/1/08 to 12/1/08, you should file suit before 7/1/10 – not 12/1/10 – in order to recover unpaid wages due for July 2008. This time limit for filing an EPA suit is separate from the 90-day filing period under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA referred to above. Therefore, if you also plan to sue under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA, in addition to suing on the EPA claim, suit must be filed within 90 days of this Notice and within the 2- or 3-year EPA back pay recovery period.

ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION -- Title VII, the ADA or GINA:

If you cannot afford or have been unable to obtain a lawyer to represent you, the U.S. District Court having jurisdiction in your case may, in limited circumstances, assist you in obtaining a lawyer. Requests for such assistance must be made to the U.S. District Court in the form and manner it requires (you should be prepared to explain in detail your efforts to retain an attorney). Requests should be made well before the end of the 90-day period mentioned above, because such requests do <u>not</u> relieve you of the requirement to bring suit within 90 days.

ATTORNEY REFERRAL AND EEOC ASSISTANCE -- All Statutes:

You may contact the EEOC representative shown on your Notice if you need help in finding a lawyer or if you have any questions about your legal rights, including advice on which U.S. District Court can hear your case. If you need to inspect or obtain a copy of information in EEOC's file on the charge, please request it promptly in writing and provide your charge number (as shown on your Notice). While EEOC destroys charge files after a certain time, all charge files are kept for at least 6 months after our last action on the case. Therefore, if you file suit and want to review the charge file, please make your review request within 6 months of this Notice. (Before filing suit, any request should be made within the next 90 days.)

IF YOU FILE SUIT, PLEASE SEND A COPY OF YOUR COURT COMPLAINT TO THIS OFFICE.